## $L 1_{a}$ (disputed $\mathrm{CuPt}_{3}$ Structure): AB7_cF32_225_a_bd-001

This structure originally had the label AB7_cF32_225_b_ad. Calls to that address will be redirected here.
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- According to (Tang, 1951), the (24d) sites have the composition $\mathrm{Pt}_{0.8} \mathrm{Cu}_{0.2}$ in stoichiometric $\mathrm{CuPt}_{3}$. Here we use "Pt" to specify the atoms on this site.
- (Tang, 1951) states that the crystal structure of $\mathrm{CuPt}_{3}$ must be cubic, but (Mshumi, 2014) argue that it is orthorhombic, and is in fact the $L 1_{3}$ structure.
- (Smithells, 1955) gave this structure the $L 1_{a}$ designation as part of his extension of the original Strukturbericht labels. He does note that an alternative orthorhombic structure had been proposed.
- (Smithells, 1955) assigns this structure to space group F432 \#209, but the positions given by (Tang, 1951) are also consistent with $F m \overline{3} m \# 225$, so we assign this structure to the higher symmetry space group.
- (Tang, 1951) does not give the lattice constant, so we use the value estimated by (Smithells, 1955).
- The Wyckoff positions are identical to those of the $\mathrm{Ca}_{7} \mathrm{Ge}$ structure,


## Face-centered Cubic primitive vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}=\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}}=\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}=\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Basis vectors

|  |  | Lattice coordinates |  | Cartesian coordinates | Wyckoff position | Atom <br> type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $=$ | 0 | $=$ | 0 | (4a) | Cu I |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{3}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ | (4b) | Pt I |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{1}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ | (24d) | Pt II |
| $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{3}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ | (24d) | Pt II |
| $\mathrm{B}_{5}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ | (24d) | Pt II |
| $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{3}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ | (24d) | Pt II |
| $\mathrm{B}_{7}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{3}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ | (24d) | Pt II |
| $\mathrm{B}_{8}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}$ | $=$ | $\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{1}{4} a \hat{\mathbf{y}}+\frac{1}{2} a \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ | (24d) | Pt II |
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